

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patient and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATIENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexades, Vegens 22013-1450

IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
14/2002	Nico N. Raczek	01/017 NUT	2351
7390 06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
ProPat, L.L.C. 2912 Crosby Road		HENDRICKS, KEITH B	
Charlotte, NC 28211-2815		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			HENDRICK

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) RACZEK, NICO N.	
Office Action Summary	10/057,533		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Keith Hendricks	1761	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MALINING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SN(8) BidNITH's from the maning date of this communication. If the period for engly specified shows is less than thirty (30) and it is not shown to be sufficient to the state of the specified shows a less than thirty (30) and it is not shown to be sufficient to the state of the specified shows a second some shown to be sufficient to the state of the state of the shown that the shows the same shown to be sufficient to the state of the shown that	FION. CFR 1.136(a) In no event, however, may a tion, or reply within the electrory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO within course the anotherist in to become A	reply be timely filed fly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the making date of this communication. BANDONEO (35 U.S.C. 533).	
atus			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed or 			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for a 	allowance except for formal mar	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
sposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ere w	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	end/or election requirement.		

D

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents heve been received in this Netional Stage

application from the international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Αt	taci	m	en	Цş
	274			

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/13-5/15/1/24/ e ù loz

6) Other: _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots/Mail Date.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,533 Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-11 are indefinite for the recitation of the phrase "exyme product." This is not an artrecognized term, such that one skilled in the art would readily and clearly know what is encompanied this phrase. It is unclear if this is a substrate of an enzyme, a co-enzyme, a reaction product of an enzyme (none of which actually contain an enzyme), or some product containing an enzyme or a portion of an enzyme.

In claim 9, the phrase "producing feedstuffs with a performance improving effect is indefinite. Initially, it is grammatically confusing ("with a"), and further, it is unclear as to what "refierd" is be "improved." Finally, the term "improved" is a relative term which renders to elaim indefinite. The term is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(c) the invention was described in (1) as application for patent, published under section 122(b), by unother filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for person (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the resty defined in section 53(b) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States on the published under Article 2(c) of such text vir the Entitle International application designated the United States and was published under Article 2(c) of such text vir the Entitle Internation

Claims 1, 3 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Becker et al. (US PAT 6190.898).

Becker et al. disclose the production of a crystalline cellulase enzyme, which is produced by a disclose a set such as sorbate (sorbic acid) with a cation, to the cellulase in solution. The formed sorbic acid & cellulase combination is usuall in many applications, including "in the preparation of a feed additive or in food preparation" (col. 7, lines 23-24). No amounts of sorbic acid within the feedstuffs are provided. Regarding instant claim 10-11, the reference provides "an addition... comprising sorbic acid and at least one enzyme" (claim 10), together in the same feedstuff. Note that, as these two compounds are not technically "separate from one another", instant claim 11 has not been included in this rejection.

Claims 1-2 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 73-007,060 (English abstract provided).

Pr '060 provides a feckstuff for silkworms which is prepared by forming a "pellet of the essential components, and dipping it into an" aqueous solution containing such components as vitamins, recurrence, and preservatives. The pellets were dipped into a solution which contained sorbic acid at 0.2 parts/liter by weight, as well as other components including vitamins. Thus, the resultant feedstuff comprises both sorbic acid and experts the dipple of the properties of the dipple of the dipp

Claims 1, 3, 5 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deyoe (US PAT 3,988,483).

Deyce et al. disclose the production of liquid starch-urea numinant feed. At column 7, 8 is demonstrated that anylase is added to the feedatuff, and also that an acid may be added; among those specifically recited is sorbic acid and phosphoric acid. Although phosphoric acid is demonstrated as used in amounts of from 1%-3.6% by weight of the preparation (Tables VI-VIII), a specific amount of sorbic acid is not provided. Regarding instant claims 10-11, the reference provides "an addition... comprising sorbic acid and at least one enzyme" (claim 10), both together in the same feedstuff, while being present separately.

Claims 1-2 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brunner (US PAT 6.350.485).

Brunner teaches compositions for enhancing palatability of pet food. At columns 3-4, the liquid formula which is added to the pet food is described as comprising "about 0.1-10 weight % preservatives what as sorbics acid and about 0.1-20 weight % enzymen such as prosease." Note that, although the enzyme and the sorbic acid are in the composition, they are still technically "separate from one another", are recited in instant claim 11.

Claims 1-3 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCauley III (US PAT 5,066,498).

MsCauley III teaches nutritional compositions which is added to the feed of domestic farm among predominantly houses. The composition comprises from 0-0.4% preservative (col. 3), and added amylase (col. 4). The recitied preservatives include sorbic acid (col. 4). Thus, the instant claims are anticipated by the reference.

Claims 1, 3 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meschonat et al. (US PAT 6,221,406).

Meschoart et al. disclose enzyme pre-granules for addition to typical ganular dry fodder animal feeds. At column 6 it is disclosed that various enzymes may be utilized, including hemicellulase, xylanase, amylase, beth-glucanase, galactomannase, etc. "The enzyme or enzyme mixture... can be employed in the form of a powder or aqueous solution." "The enzyme or enzyme mixtures can also contain conventional enzyme stabilizers and... preservatives." Specifically recited is that of sodium sorbate. Thus, the instant claims are anticipated by the reference.

Claims 1-3, 5 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leahy et al. (of record in IDS).

Leahy et al. disclose the addition of alpha-amylase and sorbic acid to feedstuffs for cattle consumption. The sorbic acid is added in an amount of 0.10 %. Thus, the instant claims are anticipated by the reference. Application/Control Number: 10/057,533 Art Unit: 1761

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at set such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter persian. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deyoe et al., taken as cited above.

Although a specific amount of sorbic said used in the feedstuff is not provided in the reference, phosphoric said is demonstrated as used in amounts of from 19-3.6% by weight of the preparation (Tables YI-VIII). As stated above, the reference provides for the addition of an axid, and specifically recited a set including sorbic said and phosphoric said. Given the teaching of the functional equivalence of the acids, it would have been obvious to noe of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized any of the acids recited in the list at column 7 in the same approximate amounts relative to the total feedstuff composition as was done with phosphoric said. Thus, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have added sorbic said in an amount of from 114-3.6% by weight of the feedstuff preparation.

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of Becker et al., Deyoe et al., Brunner, or Meschonat et al., each in view of Pais et al. (US PAT 4,482,550).

Each of Becker et al., Deyoe et al., Brunner, or Meschonat et al. are taken as cited above.

Pais et al. disclose the use of titanium as a growth/weight promoter in animal feeds, as well as sorbic acid as a preservative in said feeds (col. 2; claim 3). The general fodder utilized was a commercial chicken fodder (top, col. 3), and was used in the experiments for feeding to chickens, geese, lambs, pigs and cattle.

The utilization of compositions containing both enzymes and softic acid, with a typical animal feed organistion, was shown by the primary references themselves. The use of these feedautif corpositions for administration to various domesticated animals, such as pigs, excite, tank and poultry, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to do, given the general state of the art at the time the invention was made, and in light of the specific suchings provided by Pais et al., absent any clear and convincient evidence and/or arounces to the contract.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,533 Art Unit: 1761

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith Hendricks whose telephone number is (571) 272-1401. The examiner can normally be reached on Mry G.30am-6pm), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAR) systems. Status information Particial (PAR) systems. Status information of published applications may be obtained from either Private PARR or Pakile PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, so that //pair-direct uspots gow. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Centre (EQD 1 als 66-211-219) (roll-free).

KEITH HENDRICKS